



Experimental Evaluation of the Penalty Associated With Micro-Blowing for Reducing Skin Friction

Danny P. Hwang and Tom J. Biesiadny
Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

The NASA STI Program Office ... in Profile

Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) Program Office plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program Office is operated by Langley Research Center, the lead center for NASA's scientific and technical information. The NASA STI Program Office provides access to the NASA STI Database, the largest collection of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. The Program Office is also NASA's institutional mechanism for disseminating the results of its research and development activities. These results are published by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- **TECHNICAL PUBLICATION.** Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counter-part of peer reviewed formal professional papers, but having less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM.** Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- **CONTRACTOR REPORT.** Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- **CONFERENCE PUBLICATION.** Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or co-sponsored by NASA.
- **SPECIAL PUBLICATION.** Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- **TECHNICAL TRANSLATION.** English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services that help round out the STI Program Office's diverse offerings include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results ... even providing videos.

For more information about the NASA STI Program Office, you can:

- Access the NASA STI Program Home Page at <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/STI-homepage.html>
- E-mail your question via the Internet to help@sti.nasa.gov
- Fax your question to the NASA Access Help Desk at (301) 621-0134
- Phone the NASA Access Help Desk at (301) 621-0390
- Write to:
NASA Access Help Desk
NASA Center for AeroSpace Information
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090-2934



Experimental Evaluation of the Penalty Associated With Micro-Blowing for Reducing Skin Friction

Danny P. Hwang and Tom J. Biesiadny
Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the
36th Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit
sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
Reno, Nevada, January 12-15, 1998

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Lewis Research Center

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information
800 Elkridge Landing Road
Linthicum Heights, MD 21090-2934
Price Code: A03

National Technical Information Service
5287 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22100
Price Code: A03

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF PENALTY ASSOCIATED WITH MICRO-BLOWING FOR REDUCING SKIN FRICTION

Danny P. Hwang and Tom J. Biesiadny
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Abstract

A micro-blowing technique (MBT) experiment was conducted in the Advanced Nozzle and Engine Components Test Facility¹ at the NASA Lewis Research Center. The objectives of the test were to evaluate the pressure-drag penalty associated with the MBT and to provide additional information about the porous plates used for micro-blowing. The results showed that 1 of 12 plates tested could reduce the total drag (skin-friction drag plus pressure drag) below a solid flat plate value. The results of this experiment and prior data showed that a total drag reduction below a solid flat plate value was possible. More tests are needed to find an optimal MBT skin and to find a technique to reduce pressure drag.

Symbols

A_a	surface area of airfoil
A_s	total surface area of two test plates
C_d	drag coefficient, (total drag force)/(1/2 $\rho_\infty u_\infty^2 A_a$)
C_{d0}	drag coefficient of solid flat plate
C_{dw0}	drag coefficient of porous plate without blowing
F	blowing fraction, $(\rho_b v_b)/(\rho_\infty u_\infty)$
Re/m	Reynolds number per meter
ρ_b	blowing air density
v_b	average blowing air velocity
ρ_∞	free stream density
u_∞	free stream velocity

Introduction

A proof of concept experiment (phase I) for reducing skin friction, using the micro-blowing technique, was tested in July and August, 1995 in the Advanced Nozzle

and Engine Components Test Facility¹ at the NASA Lewis Research Center. The test results were reported in Ref. 2. The results from phase I showed that the MBT could reduce skin friction significantly for subsonic flow, especially for flow with a low Reynolds number.

In order to assess the pressure-drag penalty associated with the MBT, an assessment experiment (phase II) was conducted in the same test facility in August through November, 1996. Test plates were installed on both sides of a constant thickness airfoil. The airfoil was mounted on a balance so that the total drag, pressure drag, and skin-friction drag, could be measured directly. The Mach numbers tested were from 0.35 to 0.7 ($Re/m = 1.96(10)^6$ to $4.23(10)^6$) and the exit pressure of the wind tunnel was set at a constant pressure of 0.24 atm (corresponding to 10.7 km altitude). The experiment results are presented herein.

Test Model

A constant thickness airfoil, with the thickness of 2.03 cm, height of 13.7 cm, and length of 45.72 cm, was designed using the NPARC Navier-Stokes code³ so that the flow was not separated at a Mach number of 0.7. Tufts were used for flow visualization at Mach 0.7 to ensure that the flow remained attached. The airfoil was vertically installed on the top of a balance and a gap of 0.2 mm between the airfoil and the tunnel floor was carefully checked before the test. Figure 1 is a sketch showing the plate on the airfoil. In this figure, the front and the top wall of the wind tunnel are removed for a clear view of the test plate and the airfoil. The rectangular porous plates are 12.36 by 25.06 cm and were installed on both sides of the flat section of the airfoil, 5.08 cm from the leading edge (Fig. 1). The location of the plates was in the constant pressure region for most of the flow conditions.

Plates Tested

The test plates were constructed of two layers. For all the plates, the inner layer was a 30-microns, high-density, polyethylene porous plate, which was glued to the outer layer around the edge of the plate. The outer layers tested are listed in Table I. Most of the outer layers were provided

by Northrop Grumman Corporation. The LARC1 plate was provided by NASA Langley Research Center. It was laser drilled and the shape of the hole was irregular.

The test plates used in this experiment were slightly different from the test plates used in phase I. The phase I plates had a gap of 0.762 mm between the outer layer and the inner layer; however, based on the test results from Walkinson⁴, we speculated that more skin-friction reduction could have been achieved in the phase I test if there were no gap between the two layers. Therefore, there was no gap for the phase II test plates as shown in Fig. 2. Moreover, the thickness of the phase II inner layer was 3.175 mm instead of the 9.14 mm used for the phase I test plates.

Test Facility

The Advanced Nozzle and Engine Components Test Facility¹ was modified for this experiment. A constant rectangular cross-section duct (20.32-cm wide, 14.22-cm high, and 76.2-cm long) replaced the usual test article. A 12.7-cm-long transition duct was used to connect the test section to the facility.

Instrumentation

Figure 3 shows the experiment setup and the locations of the balance and the test model. A load cell with a maximum capacity of 4536 grams was used. The accuracy of the load cell, based on the manufacturer's specification, was ± 0.25 percent. There was an opening on the tunnel floor in the shape of the airfoil. Because the airfoil cannot touch the tunnel floor, there was a small gap of 0.2 mm between them. Sixteen Pitot static tubes were installed at a location 2.54 cm from the exit plane, as shown in Fig. 1. Because there were not enough rakes inside the wake of the airfoil and the measurements were unsteady, the data from these rakes were not usable. There was a total pressure tube, a thermocouple, and a static pressure tap installed on the side wall for measurement of the free-stream Mach number at the leading edge of the test plates.

A 1500-standard-liter/min (SLM) flowmeter was used to measure the flow rate of blowing air.

Calibration

The internal load cell shown in Fig. 4 was used to measure the total force (F_{drag}) on the airfoil. The indicated force from this load cell, however, is reduced by the friction force of the balance support and bearings as

$$F_{\text{indicated}} = F_{\text{drag}} - F_{\text{fric}}$$

In order to estimate the magnitude of the friction force, a calibration load (F_{calib}) was applied to the balance through an identical, external reference load cell. The external load cell was connected to the balance with a straight lightweight rod that was removed after calibration. The calibration was performed with no wind tunnel flow. The actual load on the balance (either F_{drag} or F_{calib}) is equal to the indicated load (internal cell) plus the friction load

$$F_{\text{actual}} = F_{\text{indicated}} + F_{\text{fric}}$$

The internal load cell was calibrated for all test plates. A typical calibration curve is shown in Fig. 5. The calibration curve is linear and passes through the origin.

Test Matrix

The test plates were tested at 5 Mach numbers: 0.35, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, and 0.7. The exhaust pressure used was 0.24 atm. The micro-blowing flow rates for the test plates are listed in Table II.

Results and Discussion

To establish a baseline drag coefficient, two plain, stainless-steel, flat plates without holes were installed on both sides of the airfoil (Fig. 1) and were tested first. The total drag (pressure drag and skin-friction drag) coefficient, C_{d0} , was measured and was considered as a reference drag coefficient. The drag coefficients, C_d , were measured at the different Mach numbers for different porous test plates. The drag ratios, C_{dw0}/C_{d0} , for unblown cases are shown in Fig. 6.

Only two plates (LARC1 and GAC1897) out of the 12 plates had low drag ratios such that the drag reduction below a flat plate value was considered possible.

Before presenting more experiment results, the meaning of three slopes of a curve, as shown in Fig. 7, needs to be explained.

There are three possible slopes for a drag ratio curve as indicated in Fig. 7. Zero slope indicates that the rate of pressure-drag increase and the rate of skin-friction reduction are equal and that they cancel each other without a net total drag reduction. A positive slope implies that the rate of pressure-drag increase is larger than the rate of skin-friction reduction. A negative slope indicates that the rate of pressure-drag increase is less than the rate of skin-friction reduction and it shows a net total drag reduction.

Because of the low unblown drag ratio, plates LARC1 and GAC1897 were chosen for presenting the experiment results. The drag ratios for the LARC1 plate are shown in Fig. 8.

The drag ratio of 1.00 indicates that the drag of a porous plate is the same as that of a solid flat plate. In Fig. 8, the LARC1 plate did not go below 1.00 for all Mach numbers and blowing rates. The porosity (percent of open area) of the LARC1 plate is only 1.1 percent. The MBT was not effective for this extremely low-porosity plate and the drag reduction below a solid flat plate value was not achieved.

The other low unblown drag ratio was for the GAC1897 plate and the drag ratios of this plate are shown in Fig. 9.

The GAC1897 plate had slightly higher unblown drag ratios than those of the LARC1 plate, however, the MBT can reduce the total drag below a flat plate value for Re/m of 1.96 and 2.26×10^6 . Keep in mind that the total drag coefficient, C_d , includes the pressure drag and the skin-friction drag. Figure 9 shows that the GAC1897 plate did achieve a total drag reduction of 2.2 percent below a solid flat plate skin-friction value at a Mach number of 0.35. Since the area of the blowing plates was about 50 percent of the total airfoil surface area and the skin-friction drag is about half of the total drag, the total drag reduction seen in Fig. 9 should be very small. The error of C_d is about $\pm 1.4(10)^{-5}$.

For higher Reynolds numbers, this plate did not reduce the skin friction below a solid flat plate value (Fig. 9). This was caused by the limitation of the facility. The small test cross section of 14.22 by 20.32 cm and the airfoil cross section of 2.03 by 13.7 cm resulted in the model blockage of 9.6 percent. Besides, the higher skin friction of the wall and airfoil, and the addition of blowing air, made the boundary layer thicker inside the test section for high Reynolds numbers ($Mach = 0.7$), and as a result, the static pressure at the wall was decreased as shown in Fig. 10. Consequently, the pressure on the rear half of the airfoil is lower than that of a free flight environment. Therefore, the pressure-drag penalty was overestimated and the total drag reduction was not achieved. It is believed that if the tests were conducted in a larger facility, the total drag reduction for higher Reynolds numbers could have been achieved.

In the phase I experiment, the NASA PN2 plate did achieve 25 percent more reduction in skin friction than GAC1897. However, because of the cost of the PN2, it

was not tested in the phase II experiment. It would be possible to achieve higher total drag reduction if a more effective plate were tested.

Suggestion for Finding an Optimal MBT Plate

The best plate out of each group (Table I), such as a group of slotted holes, was selected and the drag coefficients were normalized with respect to the drag coefficient of the same porous plate without blowing, C_{dwo} . The results show the effectiveness of micro-blowing for the different types of porous plates. The result is shown in Fig. 11 for the Mach number of 0.35. The GAC1897 plate had the lowest C_d/C_{dwo} value at the blowing fraction of 0.0025. Therefore, the GAC1897 plate is considered the most effective plate of the 12 plates tested. It is very encouraging to see a clear trend of the total drag reduction for all porous plates that have a blowing fraction of less than 0.0025. For the micro-blowing flow fraction less than 0.0025, the skin-friction reduction outweighed the pressure-drag increase, and total drag reduction was achieved. However, when the blowing air exceeded 0.0025, the total drag started to increase. Since all porous plates effectively reduce the total drag from the unblown drag for the micro-blowing flow rate ($F < 0.0025$), the unblown drag is one of the most important parameters for finding an optimal MBT plate. Only the porous plate with the unblown drag not too much higher than the drag of a solid flat plate could reduce the drag below a solid flat plate value by using the MBT.

Concluding Remarks

The phase II experiment of the micro-blowing technique for reducing skin friction has been completed. The results showed that there existed a maximum micro-blowing flow rate below which the skin-friction reduction outweighs the pressure-drag penalty, and total drag reduction was achieved. The pressure-drag penalty for a high-Reynolds-number flow was large caused by the blockage effect in the wind tunnel, and as a consequence, the total drag did not reduce below that of a solid flat plate value. Only one out of the 12 plates tested had an unblown total drag ratio so low that the reduction below a solid flat plate value was achieved after paying the penalty of the pressure-drag increase. More reduction in total drag could have been achieved if the PN2 plate (the best plate from the phase I test) had been tested. Several techniques to reduce the pressure-drag penalty have been proposed. One of the techniques, the combination of micro-blowing and micro-suction, will be tested in the near future. The search for an optimal MBT plate is continuing and a passive micro-blowing technique could be the final goal of this promising technique.

References

1. Beltran, L.R.; Del Roso, R.L.; and Del Rosario, R.: Advanced Nozzle and Engine Components Test Facility. NASA TM-103684, 1992.
2. Hwang, D.P.: A Proof of Concept Experiment for Reducing Skin Friction By Using a Micro Blowing Technique. AIAA Paper 97-0546, 1997.
3. Cooper, G.K. and Sirbaugh, J.R.: PARC Code: Theory and Usage. Arnold Engineering Development Center Report AEDC-TR-89-15, Arnold AFS, TN, Dec. 1989.
4. Wilkinson, S.P.: Influence of Wall Permeability on Turbulent Boundary-Layer Properties. AIAA Paper 83-0294, 1983.

TABLE I.—SPECIFICATIONS OF TEST PLATES

Plate number	Plate name	Hole shape side view/top view	Hole size, mm	Thickness, mm	Porosity
1	Flat plate	N/A	N/A	3.429	N/A
2	GAC1897	hourglass/circle	0.06	0.305	50
3	GAC2038	conical/circle	0.1	0.5	23
4	GAC2037	conical/circle	0.08	0.5	14
5	GAC2036	conical/circle	0.04	0.5	4
6	GAC2035 ¹	straight/slot	0.04×0.45	0.5	20
7	GAC2035A ²	straight/slot	0.04×0.45	0.5	20
8	GAC2034 ¹	straight/slot	0.02×0.43	0.5	10
9	GAC2034A ²	straight/slot	0.02×0.43	0.5	10
10	GAC2033 ¹	straight/slot	0.01×0.42	0.5	5
11	GAC2033A ²	straight/slot	0.01×0.42	0.5	5
12	Polyethylene	N/A	N/A	6.35	N/A
13	LARC1	straight/irregular	0.076	0.635	1.1

¹Long slot edge perpendicular to flow direction.

²Long slot edge along flow direction.

TABLE II.—TEST MATRIX

Blowing flow rate kg/sec/m ²	Blow fraction				
	M = 0.35 Re/m = 1.96×10 ⁶	M = 0.4 2.26×10 ⁶	M = 0.5 2.87×10 ⁶	M = 0.6 3.52×10 ⁶	M = 0.7 4.23×10 ⁶
0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
0.0212	0.00063	0.00055	0.00043	0.0035	0.00031
0.0432	0.00126	0.00109	0.00087	0.00071	0.00060
0.0864	0.00247	0.00216	0.00171	0.00142	0.00121
0.1288	0.00368	0.00321	0.00258	0.00211	0.00181
0.172	0.00489	0.00427	0.00344	0.00287	0.00240
0.2152	0.00610	0.00533	0.00430	0.00357	0.00300




Figure 1.—Test model.




Figure 2.—Phase I and phase II plates.




Figure 3.—Experiment setup.




Figure 4.—Load cell locations during calibration.




Figure 5.—Calibration line.




Figure 6.—Unblown drag ratios.




Figure 7.—Three slopes.




Figure 8.—Drag ratio of LARC1.




Figure 9.—Drag ratio of GAC1897.




Figure 10.—Wall static pressure.




Figure 11.—Micro-blowing effectiveness, Mach number = 0.35.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE December 1997		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Memorandum
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Experimental Evaluation of the Penalty Associated With Micro-Blowing for Reducing Skin Friction			5. FUNDING NUMBERS WU-274-00-00-00	
6. AUTHOR(S) Danny P. Hwang and Tom J. Biesiadny				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER E-10938	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NASA TM-113174 AIAA-98-0677	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 36th Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 12-15, 1998. Responsible person, Danny P. Hwang, organization code 5850, (216) 433-2187.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category: 02 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A micro-blowing technique (MBT) experiment was conducted in the Advanced Nozzle and Engine Components Test Facility at the NASA Lewis Research Center. The objectives of the test were to evaluate the pressure-drag penalty associated with the MBT and to provide additional information about the porous plates used for micro-blowing. The results showed that 1 of 12 plates tested could reduce the total drag (skin-friction drag plus pressure drag) below a solid flat plate value. The results of this experiment and prior data showed that a total drag reduction below a solid flat plate value was possible. More tests are needed to find an optimal MBT skin and to find a technique to reduce pressure drag.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Porous plate; Skin friction reduction; Micro-blowing technique			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15	
			16. PRICE CODE A03	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	